For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	SCOTT BISHOP, individually and on behalf CASE NO. 5:12-cv-02621 EJD
11	of all others similarly situated, ORDER RE: STATEMENTS OF RECENT
12	Plaintiff(s), DECISION v.
13	7-ELEVEN, INC.,
14	
15	Defendant(s).
16	Since this district's Civil Local Rules do not provide for the filing of Statements of Recent
17	Decision after a motion's noticed hearing date, the parties to this action shall not file any further
18	Statements of Recent Decision with regard to the currently pending Motion to Dismiss.
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	
21	Dated: April 2, 2014
22	EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	¹ "Before the noticed hearing date, counsel may bring to the Court's attention a relevant judicial opinion published after the date the opposition or reply was filed by filing and serving a Statement of Pacent Decision, containing a citation to and providing a copy of the pay.

CASE NO. 5:12-cv-02621 EJD ORDER RE: STATEMENTS OF RECENT DECISION

Statement of Recent Decision, containing a citation to and providing a copy of the new opinion—without argument." Civ. L. R. 7-3(d)(2).